

# PhD/MPhil Progress Review Three Requirements

## Overview

Research training at The University of Queensland is research and professional development. It is more than a thesis. It is 'training in research' plus 'training by research'. It is expected that Higher Degree Research candidates will acquire the [Graduate Attributes](#) through their time at UQ. The School of Social Science highly values

- knowledge and skills in the field of study;
- effective communication skills;
- critical judgment and research skills;
- independence and creativity; and
- ethical and social understanding.

The HDR program is intended to develop the HDR Graduate attributes. Graduates are required to demonstrate attainment of these attributes through a variety of means, including successful attainment of three academic progress reviews.

## Progress Review Three

The purpose and criteria for this progress review is available on the [Progress Review website](#).

This progress review also constitutes an opportunity to initiate discussions about thesis examiners.

## Timing and Components

Depending on any interruptions, Progress Review Three will take place approximately 12 months FTE (PhD) or 6 months FTE (MPhil) after the second progress review. The components to be completed are:

- written work; and
- an interview.

While the UQ Graduate School has indicated that candidates should organise interview times and locations for their progress reviews, the School of Social Science HDR committee has sought to avoid the logistical difficulties this will likely entail. For that reason, the HDR committee will organise the location, days and times for all progress reviews. The DHDR will send out a schedule of the planned progress reviews in the research quarter before the candidate's progress review is due, including the location, date and time as well as the candidate's designated HDR Chair. Candidates should use the information provided in the schedule when they complete the details of their progress review through the myUQ portal.

## 1. Written Work

### 1.1. The student's progress review documentation

The candidate must submit the following written Progress Review documentation:

- A completed discussion/analysis chapter of no more than 12,000 words presenting original material from the study or a submitted journal article. This document must not have been

submitted as part of Progress Review Two. The chapter must be accompanied by an iThenticate Report.

- A separate document of no more than 2000 words containing a thesis overview that briefly summarises the proposed or completed content of each chapter, comments on the extent of their completion rate (this can be done as a table), and which includes a timetable for submission of the thesis.

In addition, the following optional material may be provided as further evidence of satisfactory completion of this progress review:

- A list of conference presentations.
- A list of any publications deriving from the thesis.

A literature review, (historical) background chapter, or methodology chapter is **not appropriate** for this review.

## **1.2. External review of written documents**

The written work, including the draft chapter and thesis overview, must be reviewed by an independent reviewer, who will submit a report prior to the interview to assist the HDR Chair to determine the progress (quality and quantity) of the candidate's research. The reviewer will be asked to comment specifically on whether a HDR standard has been achieved by way of intellectual contribution and critical analysis.

The student and the advisors are jointly responsible for identifying a suitable external reviewer and submitting the student's written work to the reviewer approximately 4 weeks prior to the scheduled interview day. This should allow sufficient time for the reviewer to read and return comments in time for discussion during the Progress Review interview. It is the joint responsibility of the student and the advisory team to ensure that the document is provided to, and returned by, the reviewer prior to the review interview, and included in the documentation submitted to the Chair via the myUQ portal.

## **1.3 Other documentation**

The candidate must submit all [documents](#) to the HDR Chair via the myUQ portal. The Principal Advisor will independently complete the Principal Advisor Statement in the myUQ portal.

If the candidate did not submit a presentation evaluation document at Progress Review One or Two, the candidate and advisory team should organise a written evaluation by an independent reviewer of the candidate's presentation (e.g. at a conference such as the School of Social Science Postgraduate Conference, a national or international conference, in a School working paper series or School cluster seminar). This evaluation should outline areas of strength and any areas of potential improvement. In particular, the evaluation should address the scholarly quality of the presentation (e.g. engagement with relevant literature, methodology and/or data) and the format of the presentation (verbal communication and quality of any visual illustrations such as PowerPoint slides). The candidate must submit this written evaluation along with their other progress review documentation via the myUQ portal.

## **1.4 Submission of documentation**

All documents are submitted via the myUQ request. Instructions on how to manage your progress review and submit documents are detailed in the 'how to organize a progress review' section of the [Progress Review website](#).

The expectation in Social Sciences is that all documentation is received by the HDR Chair no later than **one week** before the scheduled interview. If documents are not submitted in a timely manner the progress review meeting will need to be postponed. In such cases, the candidate will need to complete the progress review in the next research quarter.

## **2. Interview**

The interview will include the HDR Chair identified in the schedule, the candidate and advisory team. The DHDR may also be requested to attend at the Chair's discretion. At the candidate's request a student representative on the HDR Committee may also be present. The expected duration of the interview is approximately 45 minutes.

The interview will include time for the candidate to talk with the HDR Chair without their advisory team present, as well as time for the HDR Chair to meet with the advisory team without the candidate. The interview provides an opportunity to discuss the feasibility of the timeline and plan of work to completion, confirm a realistic submission timeline, identify any factors delaying progress, develop appropriate responses, and to identify any additional resources required.

At the completion of the interview, the HDR Chair may recommend one of four [possible outcomes](#).